

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			•		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/750,154	12/31/2003	Kuljit S. Bains	42P18551	7899	
8791 7590 12/20/2006 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			EXAMINER		
			BATAILLE, PIERRE MICHE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	-,		2186		
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/20/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/750,154	BAINS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Pierre-Michel Bataille	2186		

	Pierre-iviichei bataille	2100	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 November 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.      The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A</li> </ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE		
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orige than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>04 December 2006</u>. A         of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a             appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply</li> </ol>	)), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	R 41.37(e)), to avoid	dismissal of the
AMENDMENTS	but prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered by	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co.</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE:	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	•	•	,
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	•	-	-
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None.		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <i>None</i> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
B.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see attached.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce bécause:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	•	
		Pierre-Michel Batai Primary Examiner	lle

Art Unit: 2186

Application/Control Number: 10/750,154 Page 2

Art Unit: 2186

## **Advisory Action**

1. It appears that the Applicant selects and/or relies on a section of the examiner's explanation in rejecting the claims to state that the reference (US 6,151,271) fails to teach a control logic shared by the first groups of memory banks as required in the claims. However, please note that the examiner's Office Action is consistent with matching the claimed recitations with the features of the applied patent document. Applicant submitted that one person skilled in the art would not consider the selection circuit of Lee to be similar to the control logic of the claims. It is, however, certain that one skilled in the art would have considered the selection circuit with applicant's claimed control logic depending on the functions of both.

In this case, Lee discloses data selection circuit provided which routes data from first and second local data lines to first and second data bus lines, respectively, when a selection control signal is in first logic state and routes data from the second and first local data lines to the first and second data bus lines, respectively, when a selection control signal is in a second logic state opposite the first logic state. Lee additionally teaches control signal generator electrically coupled to said first and second memory banks, respectively, the control signal generator being responsive to an external clock signal to generate internal clock signals wherein the data selection circuit is responsive to the control signals and a selection control signal and routes data from the first and second local data lines to the first and second data bus lines.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,154 Page 3

Art Unit: 2186

Similar to the claimed invention, Lee discloses control logic shared by both the first and second groups, coupled to both the first and second row address decoders (corresponding to control signal generator (32) electrically coupled to said first and second memory banks), coupled to both the first and second bank selection logics (the control signal generator being coupled to selection circuitry which includes a first selector (44) and a second selector (46), storing information concerning the state of all banks in the first group, including the first bank, and separately storing information concerning the state of all banks in the second group, including the second bank (the selection control signal having first logic state routing data according to the first state, and the selection control signal having a second logic state opposite the first logic state routing data accordingly) [See. Fig. 1-2; Col. 2, Lines 5-29; Col. 3, Lines 9-44.]

## Conclusion

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pierre-Michel Bataille whose telephone number is (571) 272-4178. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8:00A to 4:30P).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew M. Kim can be reached on (571) 272-4182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,154

Art Unit: 2186

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Pierre-Michel Bataille Primary Examiner Art Unit 2186 Page 4

December 14, 2006

PIERRE RATAILLE PRIMARY LXAMINER